

Some results in co-Noetherian BL-algebras

Zhan Huai Ji

School of Marine Science and Technology,
Northwestern Polytechnical University,
710072, Xi'an, P.R.China;
College of Science, Xi'an University of
Science and Technology, 710054,
Xi'an, P.R.China
jizhanhuai88@163.com

Biao Long Meng

College of Science, Xi'an University of
Science and Technology, 710054, Xi'an,
P.R.China
mengbl_100@139.com

Abstract: *In this paper, we investigate further properties of co-Noetherian BL-algebras. We introduce a special case of BL-algebras and give some characterizations for pBL-algebras. Finally, we study co-Noetherian BL-algebras by prime ideals.*

Keywords: *BL-algebra, co-Noetherian BL-algebra, ideal, prime ideal*

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of BL-algebra was initiated by Hájek ([2]) in order to provide an algebraic proof of the completeness theorem of Basic Logic (BL, in short). Soon after, Cignoli et al.([1]) proved that Hájek's logic really is the logic of continuous t -norms as conjectured by Hájek. At the same time started a systematic study of BL-algebras, and in particular, filters theory (see [3], [4], [7], [10], [11]). Using the model of rings, Motamed ([6]) introduced the notion of Noetherian BL-algebras and gave some of its equivalent definitions. Since filters and ideals are not dual concepts in BL-algebras, Meng ([5]) systematically studied properties of ideals and introduced co-Noetherian BL-algebras based on ideals theory in BL-algebras.

The structure of the paper is as follows: In section 2, we recall some definitions and facts about BL-algebras that we use in the sequel. In the section 3, we investigate further properties of co-Noetherian BL-algebras. This part of paper contains providing the sufficient conditions for a quotient BL-algebra to be co-Noetherian. We introduce a special kinds of BL-algebras named pBL-algebra, and give some characterizations for pBL-algebra. After that, we characterize co-Noetherian BL-algebras by prime ideals.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let us recall some definitions and results on BL-algebras.

Definition 2.1([2]). An algebra $(A; \wedge, \vee, *, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ of type $(2, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0)$ is called a BL-algebra if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (BL1) $(A; \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$ is a bounded lattice,
- (BL2) $(A; *, 1)$ is a commutative monoid,
- (BL3) $x * y \leq z$ if and only if $x \leq y \rightarrow z$ (residuation),
- (BL4) $x \wedge y = x * (x \rightarrow y)$, thus $x * (x \rightarrow y) = y * (y \rightarrow x)$ (divisibility),
- (BL5) $(x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x) = 1$ (prelinearity).

Definition 2.2([9]). Let A, B be two BL -algebras. A map $h : A \rightarrow B$, defined on A , is called a BL -homomorphism if, for all $x, y \in A$,

$$h(x * y) = h(x) * h(y), h(x \rightarrow y) = h(x) \rightarrow h(y) \text{ and } h(0_A) = 0_B.$$

If $h : A \rightarrow B$ is a BL -homomorphism then, for all $x, y \in A$,

$$h(x \wedge y) = h(x) \wedge h(y), h(x \vee y) = h(x) \vee h(y),$$

$$h(x^-) = h(x)^-, h(1_A) = 1_B \text{ and if } x \leq y \text{ then } h(x) \leq h(y).$$

Definition 2.3([2]). Let A be a BL -algebra. A nonempty subset $I \subseteq A$ is called an ideal of A , if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $0 \in I$,
- (2) if $x, (x^- \rightarrow y^-)^- \in I$ then $y \in I$.

A proper ideal P of A is called a prime ideal if, for all $x, y \in A$, $x \wedge y \in P$ implies $x \in P$ or $y \in P$.

Through the paper, the set of all ideals of a BL -algebra A is denoted by $I(A)$ and all prime ideals of A by $PI(A)$.

Proposition 2.4([5]). Let I be an ideal of a BL -algebra A and $a \in A$, then

$$(I \cup \{a\}) = \{x \in A : ((a^-)^n \rightarrow x^-)^- \in I, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

An ideal of A is called a finitely generated ideal, if there exist $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in A$ such that

$$I = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k] .$$

Obviously, if $I, J \in I(A)$ are finitely generated ideals, $I \cap J$ is a finitely generated ideal.

Proposition 2.5([5]). Let A be a BL -algebra. Then for any $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in A (k \in \mathbb{N})$

$$((a_1] \cup (a_2] \cup \dots \cup (a_k]]) = ((a_1^- * a_2^- * \dots * a_k^-)^-] .$$

Definition 2.6([5]). A BL -algebra A is said to be co-Noetherian with respect to ideals if every ideal of A is finitely generated;

We say that A satisfying the ascending chain condition with respect to ideals if for every ascending sequence $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$ of ideals of A , there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I_n = I_k$ for $k \geq n$;

A is said to satisfy the maximal condition with respect to ideals if every nonempty set of $I(A)$ has a maximal element.

Theorem 2.7([5]). Let A be a BL -algebra. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) A is co-Noetherian with respect to ideals,
- (ii) A satisfies the ascending chain condition with respect to ideals,
- (iii) A satisfies the maximal condition with respect to ideals.

3. SOME FURTHER PROPERTIES OF CO-NOTHERIAN BL-ALGEBRAS

In this section, we investigate further properties of co-Noetherian BL -algebras and give some of its characterizations.

Proposition 3.1. Let $h : A \rightarrow B$ be a BL -homomorphism. Then the following assertions are true:

- (i). For any (proper, prime) ideal J of B , the set

$$h^{\leftarrow}(J) = \{a \in A : h(a) \in J\}$$

is an (proper, prime) ideal of A . In particular,

$$\ker(h) = \{a \in A : h(a) = 0\}$$

is a proper ideal of A ,

(ii). If M is a maximal ideal of B , then $h^{\leftarrow}(M)$ is a maximal ideal of A ,

(iii). If h is surjective, I is an ideal of A and $\ker(h) \subseteq I$, then

$$h^{\rightarrow}(I) = \{h(x) : x \in I\}$$

is an ideal of B ,

(iv). If h is surjective, M is a maximal ideal of A and $\ker(h) \subseteq I$, then $h^{\rightarrow}(I)$ is a maximal ideal of B ,

(v). h is injective if and only if $\ker(h) = \{0\}$.

Proof: (i). Let J be an ideal of B . Clearly, $0 \in h^{\leftarrow}(J)$. If $(x^- \rightarrow y^-)^-, x \in h^{\leftarrow}(J)$, then

$$(h(x)^- \rightarrow h(y)^-)^- = h((x^- \rightarrow y^-)^-) \in J \text{ and } h(x) \in J.$$

Since J is an ideal, we have $h(y) \in J$, i.e., $y \in h^{\leftarrow}(J)$. Therefore $h^{\leftarrow}(J)$ is an ideal of A .

(ii). First we show that M is a maximal ideal of A if and only if $x \notin M$, then $(x^-)^n \in M$ for some $n \in N$.

Let M be a maximal ideal of A and $x \notin M$, then $(M \cup \{x\}) = A$. Since $1 \in A$, by Proposition 2.4 we get

$$(x^-)^n = ((x^-)^n)^- = ((x^-)^n \rightarrow 1^-)^- \in M$$

for some $n \in N$.

Conversely, let $M \subset I$ where I is a proper ideal of A . Take $x \in I \setminus M$, then $(x^-)^n \in M$ for some $n \in N$ by hypothesis. Since

$$\begin{aligned} (x^-)^n &= ((x^-)^n)^- = ((x^-)^n \rightarrow 1^-)^- \\ &= (x^- \rightarrow ((x^-)^{n-1} \rightarrow 1^-))^- \\ &= (x^- \rightarrow ((x^-)^{n-1} \rightarrow 1^-)^-)^- \in M \subset I, \end{aligned}$$

then we obtain $((x^-)^{n-1} \rightarrow 1^-)^- \in I$ by $x \in I$ and I is an ideal. By repeating the above proceeding n times, we have $1 \in I$, thus $I = A$. Therefore M is a maximal ideal of A . Now let M be a maximal ideal of B , then $h^{\leftarrow}(M)$ is a proper ideal of A by (i). If $x \notin h^{\leftarrow}(M)$, then $h(x) \notin M$. Since M is maximal, by the above conclusion we have

$$h((x^-)^n) = h(x^-)^n \in M$$

for some $n \in N$, i.e., $(x^-)^n \in h^{\leftarrow}(M)$, hence $h^{\leftarrow}(M)$ is maximal.

(iii). Let h be surjective and I an ideal of A . Trivially $0 \in h^{\rightarrow}(I)$. Now let

$$(x^- \rightarrow y^-)^-, x \in h^{\rightarrow}(I),$$

then there exist $a, b \in I$ such that

$$h(a) = (x^- \rightarrow y^-)^-, h(b) = x^-.$$

Since h is homomorphic, so we have

$$h(a^-) = x^- \rightarrow y^-, h(b^-) = x^-,$$

then

$$h(a^- * b^-) = x^- * (x^- \rightarrow y^-) \leq y^-.$$

By h is surjective, there exist $c \in A$ such that $h(c) = y$, hence

$$h(a^- * b^-) \leq h(c^-),$$

i.e.,

$$h(a^- * b^- \rightarrow c^-) = 1,$$

by hypothesis we have

$$(a^- \rightarrow (b^- \rightarrow c^-))^- \in \ker(h) \subseteq I.$$

Since I is an ideal and $a, b \in I$ then $c \in I$, hence $y \in h^\rightarrow(I)$. This shows that $h^\rightarrow(I)$ is an ideal of B .

(iv). By (iii) we know that $h^\rightarrow(M)$ is an ideal of B . Now let $h^\rightarrow(M) \subset J$ where J is a proper ideal of B , then

$$M \subseteq h^\leftarrow(h^\rightarrow(M)) \subseteq h^\leftarrow(J).$$

Since J is a proper ideal, by (i) we have $h^\leftarrow(J)$ is also proper ideal, thus $M = h^\leftarrow(J)$ because M is maximal. Since h is surjective, we get

$$h^\rightarrow(M) = h^\rightarrow(h^\leftarrow(J)) = J.$$

This completed the proof.

(v). It is clear.

Proposition 3.2. Let $h: A \rightarrow B$ be a surjective BL -homomorphism. If A is co-Noetherian, then B is co-Noetherian.

Proof: Let $J_1 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq \dots$ be a chain of ideals of B , then by proposition 3.1 (i) we know $h^\leftarrow(J_i) (i \in N)$ are ideals of A , hence

$$h^\leftarrow(J_1) \subseteq h^\leftarrow(J_2) \subseteq \dots$$

a chain of ideal of A . Since A is co-Noetherian, by Theorem 2.3, then there exists $k \in N$ such that $h^\leftarrow(J_n) = h^\leftarrow(J_k)$ for any $n \geq k$. By h is surjective, we get

$$h^\rightarrow(h^\leftarrow(J_i)) = J_i (\forall i \in N),$$

hence $J_k = J_n$ for any $n \geq k$, B is co-Noetherian.

Proposition 3.3. Let A be a BL -algebra and I an ideal of A . Then K is an ideal of A/I if and only if there exists an ideal J of A such that $I \subseteq J$ and $K = J/I$.

Proof: \Rightarrow . Denote $J = \cup\{[x]: [x] \in K\}$. Since $I = [0] \in K$, then $I \subseteq J$ and $0 \in J$. Now let

$$(x^- \rightarrow y^-)^-, x \in J,$$

it follows that

$$([x]^- \rightarrow [y]^-)^-, [x] \in K.$$

By K being an ideal we have $[y] \in K$, hence $y \in J$, J is an ideal of A .

\Leftarrow . Let $([x]^- \rightarrow [y]^-)^-, [x] \in K$, then there exist $a, b \in J$ such that

$$[(x^- \rightarrow y^-)^-] = ([x]^- \rightarrow [y]^-)^- = [a], [x] = [b].$$

Since

$$(a^- \rightarrow (x^- \rightarrow y^-)^-)^- \leq ((x^- \rightarrow y^-)^- \rightarrow a)^- \in I \subseteq J,$$

by $a \in J$ and J being an ideal of A , we have $(x^- \rightarrow y^-)^- \in J$. Similarly, we can prove $x \in J$, hence $y \in J$, $[y] \in K$. Therefore K is an ideal of A/I .

The above proposition shows that for any ideal of A/I , it must be the form of J/I where $J \supseteq I$ is an ideal of A .

By Proposition 3.2 and 3.3 the following conclusion holds.

Corollary 3.4. Let A be a co-Noetherian BL-algebra and I an ideal of A . Then A/I is co-Noetherian.

Proposition 3.5. Let A be co-Noetherian and h a surjective BL-homomorphism on A . Then h is one-to-one homomorphism.

Proof: Trivially h^n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) is surjective. Consider

$$\ker(h) \subseteq \ker(h^2) \subseteq \dots$$

a chain of ideals of A . Since A is co-Noetherian, then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\ker(h^n) = \ker(h^k)$$

for any $n \geq k$. Let

$$a \in \ker(h) \subseteq \ker(h^n) (n \geq k).$$

By h^n being surjective we have $h^n(b) = a$ for some $b \in A$. Hence

$$h^{n+1}(b) = h(a) = 0, b \in \ker(h^{n+1}),$$

thus $a = h^n(b) = 0$, i.e., $\ker(h) = \{0\}$, by proposition 3.1 (v) we get h is one-to-one.

Definition 3.6. Let A be a BL-algebra. If for any $I \in I(A)$, I is a principal ideal, i.e., $I = (a)$ where $a \in A$, then A is called a principal ideal BL-algebra, simply pBL-algebra.

Obviously, every pBL-algebra must be co-Noetherian.

Proposition 3.7. Let A be co-Noetherian. If for any ideal I which is generated with two elements is principal, then A is a pBL-algebra.

Proof: Let I be an ideal of A . Since A is co-Noetherian, then

$$I = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$$

for some $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$. We demonstrate, by induction on n , that I is principal. If $n = 2$, then the claim is true by hypothesis. Now assume it is true for $n = k$ and set

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k] = (b].$$

Let $n = k + 1$, by Proposition 2.5 we have

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}] \subseteq ((b] \cup (a_{k+1}]) = ((b^- * a_{k+1}^-)^-).$$

Conversely, since $(b], (a_{k+1}] \subseteq (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}]$, then

$$((b^- * a_{k+1}^-)^-) = ((b] \cup (a_{k+1}]) \subseteq (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}],$$

hence $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}] = ((b^- * a_{k+1}^-)^-)$, a principal ideal of A . Thus, the claim holds for all natural numbers n and the proof is completed.

By Proposition 2.5 we have the following conclusion.

Proposition 3.9. Let A be a BL -algebra. If for any ideal I of A , which is generated by union of finite principal ideals, then A is a pBL -algebra.

Corollary 3.10. Let A be a pBL -algebra and I an ideal of A . Then A/I is co-Noetherian.

Proposition 3.11. Let A be a BL -algebra. If for any nontrivial ideal I of A , A/I is co-Noetherian, then A is co-Noetherian.

Proof: Let $J_1 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq \dots$ be a chain of nontrivial ideals of A , then we have

$$J_1/I \subseteq J_2/I \subseteq \dots$$

a chain of ideals of A/I . Since A/I is co-Noetherian, then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$J_n/I = J_k/I$$

for any $n \geq k$, hence $J_n = J_k$. Therefore, A is co-Noetherian.

Theorem 3.12. Let A be a BL -algebra. Then A is co-Noetherian if and only if every prime ideal of A is finitely generated.

Proof: The necessity is obvious, so we just prove the sufficiency. Denote by K the set of all ideals I of A where I is not finitely generated. Assume that $K \neq \emptyset$ and

$$I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$$

be a chain where $I_i \in K$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, $I = \cup I_i$ is an ideal of A and $I \in K$. By Zorn's lemma, K has a maximal element M . Now we show that M is prime. Supposed that $a \wedge b \in M$ but $a, b \notin M$, then

$$(M \cup \{a\}) \cap (M \cup \{b\}) = M.$$

Since M is maximal in K and

$$M \subset (M \cup \{a\}), (M \cup \{b\}),$$

hence

$$(M \cup \{a\}), (M \cup \{b\}) \notin K.$$

Thus

$$(M \cup \{a\}), (M \cup \{b\})$$

are finitely generated, it follows that M is finitely generated, a contradiction. Therefore $K = \emptyset$, the conclusion is true.

Theorem 3.13. Let A be a BL -algebra satisfying the ascending chain condition with respect to finitely generated ideals, then A is co-Noetherian.

Proof: Let A be not co-Noetherian, then there exists an ideal I not finitely generated. Obviously, $\{0\} \subset I$, $a_1 \in I \setminus \{0\}$ such that $(a_1] \subset I$. Take $a_2 \in I \setminus (a_1]$ then $(a_1, a_2] \subset I$. Continuing this procedure, we will get an increasing proper ideals chain

$$(a_1] \subset (a_1, a_2] \subset \dots,$$

which every element is finitely generated, a contradiction.

By the prime ideal extended theorem (see [5]) we know that, if $I \subseteq J$ then J is prime, where I is an prime ideal and J is proper ideal of A .

Let A be a BL -algebra and I a proper ideal of A . We denote

$$K = \{J \in PI(A) : I \subseteq J\},$$

then by prime ideal theorem (see [5]) we get $K \neq \emptyset$ and by dual Zorn's lemma K has a minimal element, which is called a minimal prime ideal associated with I . Denote the set of all minimal prime ideals associated with I by $m(I)$.

Theorem 3.14. Let A be a BL -algebra and I a proper ideal of A . If every element of $m(I)$ is finitely generated, then $m(I)$ is a finite set.

Proof: We denote the set of all finite intersections of $m(I)$ by $F(I)$. It is Obvious that $F(I)$ is nonempty. Now supposed that $I \subset P$ for any $P \in F(I)$. Consider the set

$$S = \{J \in I(A) : I \subseteq J, P \not\subseteq J, \forall P \in F(I)\}.$$

We have $I \in S$. Take $J_1 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq \dots$ a chain of S . Obviously, $J^* = \cup_i J_i$ is an ideal of A and $I \subseteq J^*$. If there exists $P \in F(I)$ such that $P \subseteq J^*$. Let

$$P = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap \dots \cap P_n.$$

It is clear P is finitely generated since each P_i is finitely generated, then

$$P = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] \subseteq J^*$$

for some $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in A$. Since $\{J_i\}$ is a chain, for some $k \in N$, all $x_i \in J_k$, thus $P \subseteq J_k$, a contradiction. Therefore, $J^* \in S$ and a upper bound of the chain in S . By Zorn's lemma, S has a maximal element M . We show that M is prime. If not, there is $a \wedge b \in M$ but $a, b \notin M$. By Corollary 4.9([5]) we have

$$(M \cup \{a\}] \cap (M \cup \{b\}] = M.$$

It is obvious that $M \subset (M \cup \{a\}], (M \cup \{b\}]$, and so $(M \cup \{a\}], (M \cup \{b\}] \notin S$. Thus there exist $Q_1, Q_2 \in F(I)$ such that

$$Q_1 \subseteq (M \cup \{a\}], Q_2 \subseteq (M \cup \{b\}].$$

It follows that $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \subseteq M$, a contradiction because $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \subseteq F(I)$, hence M is prime. By Proposition 5.19 ([5]) there exists $P \in m(I)$ such that $I \subseteq P \subseteq M$, a contradiction with $M \in S$, that is to say, there exists $P' \in F(I)$ such that $I = P'$. Let

$$P' = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap \dots \cap P_k \in F(I).$$

Then for any $P \in m(I)$, $P_1 \cap P_2 \cap \dots \cap P_k = I \subseteq P$. By Theorem 2.39 ([5]), there exists $1 \leq i \leq k$ such that $P = P_i$, hence $m(I) = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k\}$ a finite set.

We denote all maximal ideals of a BL -algebra A by $M(A)$. Obviously, $M(A) \subseteq PI(A)$.

Corollary 3.15. Let A be a co-Noetherian BL -algebra. If $PI(A) = M(A)$, then $M(A)$ is a finite set.

Proof: Since A be co-Noetherian, for any $P \in PI(A)$, P is finitely generated, hence every element of $M(A)$ is finitely generated. By hypothesis, we obtain that every element of $M(A)$ is also a minimal ideal of A containing the ideal $\{0\}$, thus $M(A) = m(\{0\})$, by Theorem 3.14 we have $M(A)$ is a finite set.

4. CONCLUSION

In the paper, we investigate further properties of co-Noetherian BL -algebras. We also provide the sufficient conditions for a quotient BL -algebra to be co-Noetherian. A special BL -algebra is introduced and some characterizations for pBL -algebra are given. Finally, we characterize co-Noetherian BL -algebras by prime ideals.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Cinoli R, Esteva F, Godo L, Torrens A, Basic Fuzzy Logic is the Logic of Continuous T -norm and Their Residua, *Soft Comput.*, (12)2000: 106-112.
- [2]. Hajek P, *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1998.
- [3]. Haveski M, Saeid A B, Eslami E, Some types of filters in BL -algebras, *Soft Computing*, (10)2006: 657-664.
- [4]. Kondo M, Dudek W A, Filter Theory in BL -algebras, *Soft Comput.*, (12)2008: 419-423.
- [5]. Meng B L, Xin X L, Prime Ideals and Gödel Ideals of BL -algebras, *Journal of advance in mathematics*, (99)2015: 2989-3005.
- [6]. Motamed S, Moghaderi J, Noetherian and Artinian BL -algebras, *Soft Comput.*, (16)2012: 1989-1994.
- [7]. Saeid A B, Motamed S, Normal Filters in BL -Algebras, *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 2009, 7(Special Issue for Applied Math): 70-76.
- [8]. Saeid A B, Ahadpanah A, Torkzadeh L, Smarandache BL -Algebras, *Journal of Applied Logic*, (8)2010: 253-261.
- [9]. Turunen E, *Mathematics Behind Fuzzy Logic*, Physica-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1999.
- [10]. Turunen E, BL -algebras of Basic Fuzzy Logic, *Mathware & Soft Comp.*, (6)1999: 49-61.
- [11]. Turunen E, Boolean Deductive Systems of BL -algebras, *Arch Math Logic*, (40)2001: 467-473.