



Intercropping Arabica Coffee (*Coffea Arabica*) and Banana (*Musa Spp*) At Teppi, Southwest Ethiopia

Behailu Mekonnen*, Shiferaw Temteme, Esubalew Getachew

Teppi Agricultural Research Center, Mobil Tel: +251(0)920918278, Office Tel: +251-475-560356, Fax: +251-475-560087; P.O.Box 34 Teppi, Ethiopia.

***Corresponding Authors:** Behailu Mekonnen, Teppi Agricultural Research Center, Mobil Tel: +251(0)920918278, Office Tel: +251-475-560356, Fax: +251-475-560087; P.O.Box 34 Teppi, Ethiopia.

Abstract: A field experiment aimed to determine the optimum ratio of intercropping Arabica coffee with banana was conducted at Teppi, Southwest Ethiopia, from 2012 to 2016. The experiment consisted of four cropping arrangements (1:1, 1:2, 1:3 ratios of coffee with banana, and staggered planting) with sole stands of each crop, arranged in a Randomized Complete Block Design with three replicates. Yield and yield-related attributes of the component crops were collected, and the efficiency of the cropping arrangement was estimated using a land equivalent ratio. The analysis of variance showed that the clean coffee yield was significantly ($p < 0.05$) affected by intercropping with bananas. Intercropping of the component crops was also significantly ($p < 0.05$) influence the fruit yield of bananas. Thus, the highest clean coffee yield was obtained from the sole coffee plot (2007.4 kg ha⁻¹) followed by the plot in which coffee and banana were arranged staggeringly (1782.6 kg ha⁻¹). Similarly, the highest values of land equivalent ratio (1.25) and yield advantage of coffee (0.88) were recorded from staggeringly arranged coffee with banana compared with other intercrops. The result also indicates the enhanced productivity and compatibility of the component crops when they are inter-planted staggeringly. Accordingly, the aforementioned planting arrangement can be recommended for farmers and growers in the study area for increased yield productivity of the component crops. Further study needs to be repeated one more season in different agro-ecologies with different varieties of component crops.

Keywords: Coffee, Intercropping, Banana, Land Productivity, Yield Advantage

1. INTRODUCTION

Coffee is one of the most important cultivated crops around the world. It is grown in about 80 countries from 10.2 million hectares of land in the tropical and subtropical regions [1, 2]. Economically, the crop is the second most exported commodity in the world after crude oil, and it provides a livelihood for more than 25 million people living in developing countries [3, 4 and 5]. In Ethiopia, coffee is the major cash crop that plays a crucial role in the household as well as and national economy. It also has socio-economic importance in the well-being of rural communities including cash generation and job creation. Currently, coffee is cultivated in many parts of Ethiopia. The main coffee-growing areas are found in Oromia and SNNP Regional states, with modest production in Gambella, Benishangul Gumuz, and Amhara regional states. According to [6], the Southwest part of the country (including Jimma, Kaffa, Bench Maji, Teppi, etc.) is the largest coffee-producing area. In these potential areas, a coffee-based intercropping system is widely practiced and provides improved farm earnings for smallholder farmers without an adverse impact on the yield and quality of coffee. The system also offers more agronomic benefits to smallholder farmers than coffee mono-cropping, with an increase in organic matter, nutrient recycling, soil conservation, providing shade to coffee, the productive life cycle of coffee trees, and bio-diversification [7, 8 and 9]. Additionally, it plays a vital role in food security, reducing farmers' risks associated with crop failure and fluctuations in coffee prices [10].

Several perennial crops were identified for the coffee-based intercropping system, among them banana is the one [11-17]. Unlike coffee, bananas can be grown and established fast, particularly in lowland areas [18, 19]. It can provide shades for the growing coffee seedlings to enhance the yield and quality of clean coffee [14]. It also provides natural mulch, which helps to recycle organic matter and nutrients and suppress weeds. The crop ensures a continuous flow of income throughout the year, thereby it balances the cash flow constraints during the year when the coffee is not yet productive. So, intercropping coffee with bananas can be used as a source of income and food security for smallholder farmers. However, the growth yield and quality of coffee can be significantly affected by the inter-specific competition with bananas unless the competition is minimized. A reasonable yield of Arabica coffee with a higher yield equivalent ratio per unit area can be obtained by minimizing the competition with bananas for growth resources *viz.*, moisture, nutrient, spaces, light, etc. Thus, the inter-specific competition can be minimized by adjusting the planting density or planting geometry of the component crops, thereby increasing the biological efficiency & economic feasibility. Previous research information related to optimum ratios of intercropping for Arabica coffee with banana is lacking. Accordingly, this study was proposed to determine biologically optimum intercropping ratios between Arabica coffee and banana that can enhance the land-use efficiency and yield productivity of the component crops at Teppi, Southwestern Ethiopia.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site Description, Soil Properties, And Meteorological Data

The experiment was conducted at Teppi Agricultural Research Center (TARC) from the year 2012 to 2016. The center is located at 70 10' N latitude and 350 25' E longitude, and situated at an altitude of 1200 meters above sea level, representing a lowland altitude according to Ethiopian traditional agro ecological division, the elevation is the basis for this classification [20]. It is characterized by a hot humid with an average annual rainfall of 1559 mm, and a mean maximum and minimum temperature of 30.23 oC and 16.09 oC, respectively [21]. The soil type of the experimental site is classified as Nitisols, which is dominated by a loam texture with a pH range of 5.60 to 6.0 [22]. The soil depth is very deep (>150 cm) and has a color of dark brown (7.5 YR3/2) when moist. The organic matter content is medium to very high (2.47 to 7.02%) according to [23] classification. The total nitrogen content is low to very high (0.09 to 0.73%) according to [24] classification, while the available phosphorus is low to medium (0.97 to 7.36 ppm) based on the rating of [25]. The meteorological data for the years 2012 to 2016 were obtained from Teppi Agricultural Research Center and presented in Table 1 & Figure 1.

Experimental Materials, Design, and Procedures

The treatments included sole stands of coffee and banana, four intercropping ratios of coffee and banana *viz.*, coffee and banana in alternate rows (1:1), 2 rows of coffee to a row of banana (2:1), 3 rows of coffee to a row of banana (3:1), and a staggered planting of coffee with banana (*i.e.* four coffee trees were planted alternatively around the banana tree), and a detail description of the treatment was depicted in Table 2. The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with three replications. Six-month-old suckers of banana were planted on the field at the end of March 2012, when the rainfall was started at a spacing of 3m x 2m and 2m x 2m in the sole and intercropped plots, respectively. Whereas, the coffee seedlings were transplanted when they attain about eight pairs of true leaves [26] in June 2012 at a spacing of 2m x 2m both in the sole and intercropped plots. It was also planted in a staggered fashion between rows of the banana plants with the aforementioned spacing. Among the lowland coffee and banana varieties, Geisha and Poyo varieties were used as component crops, which are widely adopted and cultivated in the study area.

The sole coffee plot was established under uniformly planted a temporary shade tree type namely *Sesbania sesban* [17, 27 and 28], at a 4m x 4m distance. Coffee trees were trained in a single stem pruning system, and undesirable laterally grown branches and suckers were removed throughout the study. During harvesting, red cherries were collected manually from sample trees of each experimental plot. On the other hand, the banana plants were allowed to grow three suckers per mat or stool to manage to overcrowd, and finally, these appeared as mother, daughter, and granddaughter banana plants. The banana bunch was harvested when the fruit fingers became round, the bunch starts to turn its color from dark green to light green [19, 29 and 30]. After harvesting, the unwanted pseudo-stem was cut down at the base of the soil, chopped into pieces, and spread over the soil surface to provide mulch. Other field and crop management practices were applied for both crops as of the recommendation [12, 31 and 32].

Data collection

Data on growth, yield & yield-related traits of coffee were recorded from a randomly selected sample tree as per the scheduled periods using a procedure adopted by [33] and [34]. The yield and yield-related parameters of bananas were measured following the procedures adopted by [35], [36], [37] and [38].

Land equivalent ratio (LER)

The productivity of the intercropping system in terms of the land equivalent ratio (LER) was used to measure the yield advantage of coffee-banana based cropping over the sole crops [39]. Thus, LER for clean coffee and banana fruit yield was calculated by using the following formula [40];

$$\text{Land Equivalent Ratio (LER)} = \left(\frac{Y_{CB}}{Y_{SC}}\right) + \left(\frac{Y_{BC}}{Y_{SB}}\right)$$

Where, Y is the yields of component crops per unit area, YSC and YSB mean yield of sole coffee and banana, and YCB and YBC mean yield of intercropped coffee and banana, respectively. When the value of LER shows >1, the intercropping system favors the growth and yield of the component crops. In contrast, if the value of LER demonstrates <1, the intercropping system negatively affects the growth and yield of the component crops grown in mixtures [41, 42]. Besides, the interspecific competition becomes stronger compared with the interspecific interaction within the intercropping system when the value of LER<1 [43].

Data analysis

The collected data were subjected to statistical analysis. Analyses of variance were carried out using SAS version 9.2 English [44]. Significant differences between and or among treatments were delineated by Least Significant Differences (LSD) at 5% probability [45].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Yield of Clean Coffee

The analysis of variance of this study revealed that almost all growth and yield parameters of Arabica coffee were not significantly influenced by different ratios of coffee-banana intercropping during the 2014/15 cropping season. However, the clean coffee yield was significantly ($p<0.05$) influenced during the 2015/16 cropping season (Table 3). During the first harvest, the highest yield of clean coffee was found from a staggered planted coffee followed by a solely planted coffee, but it was not statistically influenced by the intercropping with a banana. During the 2015/16 cropping season, the clean coffee yield of solely planted coffee was statistically different from other intercrops, except for a staggeringly planted coffee. Thus, the highest clean coffee yield per unit area was found from a sole stand of coffee followed by a staggered planted coffee. On the contrary, the lowest clean coffee yield per unit area was recorded at an equal (1:1) ratio of intercropping coffee and banana (Table 3). This finding agrees with the study result of [11], [13], [15], [16] and [46], who reported that the highest yield of clean coffee was found in the sole stand rather than intercrops with enset, avocado, and korarima.

The highest yield of solely and staggeringly planted coffee might be related to more coffee trees per unit area, and thereby it is attributed to efficient utilization of the growth resources viz., light, moisture, nutrients, and spaces [34, 47]. On the contrary, the high competition of bananas with coffee trees for available soil nutrients and other environmental resources might have contributed to the low clean coffee yield of Arabica coffee planted in a 1:1 ratio of intercropping with bananas. Besides, the sparse planting density of Arabica coffee could also be attributed to the lowest yield of clean coffee compared with other intercrops. According to [48], the lower population density of coffee trees is a major factor causing a low yield per unit area. Several authors reported the strong correlation of coffee yield with the population density of coffee trees per unit area [12, 34, 47, 49, 50, 51 and 52].

Fruit Yield of Banana

Regarding bananas, most of the growth parameters of bananas were not significantly influenced by intercropping with Arabica coffee in the study period. However, the fruit yield of bananas was significantly ($p<0.05$) affected by different ratios of intercropping with Arabica coffee (Table 4). During the study period, the fruit yield of the sole banana stand was significant over other intercrops. Accordingly, the highest banana fruit yield (53.4 and 62.8 t ha⁻¹) was obtained from the sole stand plot in the 2014/15 and 2015/16 cropping seasons, respectively. Among the intercrops, the staggeringly

planted banana gave the highest fruit yield (19.38 and 23.26 t ha⁻¹) followed by the banana at an equal (1:1) ratio of coffee and banana intercropping (18.9 and 22.02 t ha⁻¹) during the same cropping seasons (Table 4). Likewise, the pooled mean analysis result also pointed out the influences of different intercropping ratios on the fruit yield of bananas. The highest fruit yield (58.12 t ha⁻¹) was obtained from a solely planted banana followed by banana trees planted staggeringly (21.32 t ha⁻¹) and equally (1:1) ratio of intercropping with Arabica coffee (20.46 t ha⁻¹). Whereas, the lowest fruit yield was found from the plot in which the banana was intercropped with Arabica coffee in a 1:3 ratio of planting arrangement (Table 4). Our result concurs with the finding of [10], who reported that the fruit yield of solely planted bananas was highly significant over the other intercrops with Robusta coffee. Similar results were also reported by [13], [15], [17] and [46], who showed that the highest yield of enset from a sole stand plot compared to other plots in which enset was intercropped with Arabica coffee.

Our result concurs with the finding of [10], who reported that the fruit yield of solely planted bananas was highly significant over the other intercrops with Robusta coffee. The increased fruit yield of solely planted bananas could be partially attributed to a higher population density of banana trees per unit area compared to other intercrops. [10] also indicate the positive correlation of fruit yield of banana with their trees' population density. Besides, the absence of interspecific competition in the sole banana plot could also be a possible reason for the increased fruit yield of a banana compared to the intercropped plots where the component crops compete for the limited growth resources. Similar results were also reported by [13], [15], [17] and [46], who showed that the highest yield of enset from a sole stand plot compared to other plots in which enset was intercropped with Arabica coffee.

Land Equivalent Ratio (LER)

Partial land equivalent ratio (PLER)

In this study, the partial land equivalent ratio or productivity of Arabica coffee was significantly ($P < 0.05$) influenced by different ratios of intercropping with banana (Table 5). During the 2014/15 cropping season, the partial LER of Arabica coffee in a staggering plot was statistically significantly different from other intercropped plots, except for the plot in which coffee and banana were arranged in a 2:1 ratio. In the second cropping year, the same treatment showed a significant difference over other treatments, except for the treatment which has three rows of coffee with one row of banana arrangement (Table 5). Accordingly, the highest partial LER values of 1.77 and 0.90 were recorded from the aforementioned treatment during the 2014/15 and 2015/16 harvesting years, respectively. Similarly, the same treatment gave the highest partial LER mean value of 0.88 followed by the treatment in which the component crops were arranged in two rows of coffee with one row of bananas (0.54). The increased partial land equivalent ratio or productivity in the staggered plot might be associated with the higher planting density of Arabica coffee compared to other intercrops. This result is in line with the findings of [10], [11], [12], [15], [16] and [17].

Likewise, the partial land equivalent ratio or productivity of bananas was also significantly ($P < 0.05$) affected by different ratios of intercropping with Arabica coffee (Table 5). However, the partial LER values of the whole intercrops were not achieved the minimum value of partial LER (0.5) as indicated by [53]. The result might be associated with the increased population density of coffee trees at the expense of banana tree density per unit area, resulting in a reduction of yield and partial LER of bananas. However, the partial LER of banana in the plots where banana and coffee were arranged in 1:1 and staggeringly were significantly over the other intercrops both during the 2014/15 and 2015/16 cropping seasons. Thus, the highest partial LER of banana (0.37) was recorded similarly at an equally (1:1) and staggeringly intercropped banana during the 2014/15 and 2015/16 cropping seasons, respectively (Table 5). The staggeringly planted banana also gave the highest partial LER mean value of 0.37 followed by an equally (1:1) intercropped banana (0.36). The results revealed that an increase in banana population density per unit area increased the partial LER of bananas in either the cropping arrangements. The present result is in agreement with the findings of [10], [15], [17] and [46] on banana and enset crops.

Total Land Equivalent Ratio (TLER)

The total land equivalent ratio (TLER) was significantly ($p < 0.05$) influenced by different ratios of intercropping between Arabica coffee and banana (Table 6). During the study period, the total LER value of staggeringly intercropped Arabica coffee with banana was significantly different from other intercrops, except for two rows of coffee and one row of banana arrangement during the 2014/15 cropping season. Accordingly, the highest total LER values of 2.14 and 1.27 were recorded from a

staggering plot during the 2014/15 and 2015/16 cropping seasons, respectively (Table 6). In the pooled mean value analysis, the same plot gave the highest total LER value (1.25).

The pooled mean value of total LER in a staggeringly planted Arabica coffee and banana was greater than one (1.25), which indicates the advantage of a staggering mixture over the sole stand of either of the component crops in terms of exploitation of environmental resources for plant growth [43, 54 and 55]. In addition, the total LER value of a staggering plot also showed an additional 25 hectares or 25% of the area of land would have been required to obtain an equivalent yield from either coffee or banana pure stand. On the other hand, the total LER values of 1:1, 2:1, and 3:1 ratios of intercropping between Arabica coffee and banana showed less than one ($LER < 1$). This result points out the disadvantages of these cropping arrangements, and the occurrence of strong interspecific competition within the cropping arrangements [42, 56 and 57]. The result of this study is in line with the findings of [10], [11], [15] and [46], who revealed the intercropping advantage of Arabica coffee with banana, enset & korarima, along with a higher total LER value in the staggered planting arrangement.

4. CONCLUSION

According to the study result, the clean coffee yield was statistically ($p < 0.05$) influenced by different ratios of intercropping with bananas. Among the intercrops, a staggeringly planted Arabica coffee gave the highest mean clean coffee yield, which is statistically similar to the clean coffee yield obtained from the solely planted coffee trees. Similarly, the highest partial and total LER values were recorded from the aforementioned cropping arrangement. The result could be associated with the exploiting capacity of the component crops for the available growth resources when they are arranged in a staggered fashion. The same planting arrangement also showed a significant yield advantage over other arrangements, which indicates the agronomical and biological advantages of this arrangement compared with other arrangements. Accordingly, the study recommended that the staggered planting arrangement of Arabica coffee with banana can be taken as the appropriate cropping ratio for enhancing the yield and land productivity of the component crops in the study area. However, the study needs to be repeated one more season in different agro ecologies and checked for economic feasibility tests.

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research (EIAR) for financial support & Teppi Agricultural Research Center (TARC) for providing an experimental field for the study, and also Mr. Asrade Chane & Mr. Wondimu Gebresillase technical assistants of the agronomy research program at TARC for their unreserved support on fieldworks from land preparation to data collection during the study period.

REFERENCES

- [1] Desalegn, A. 2017. Review on genetic diversity of Coffee (*Coffea Arabica* L.) in Ethiopia. *International Journal of Forestry and Horticulture (IJFH)*, Vol. 3(2):18-27. DOI.org/10.20431/2454-9487.0302003.
- [2] Addisu, A., Wogayehu, B. and Helmut, K. 2022. Assessment of spatio-temporal patterns of coffee wilt disease, constraints on coffee production and its management practices in Berbere district, Bale Zone, southeastern Ethiopia. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03235408.2022.2081525>.
- [3] Toledo, V. and Moguel, P. 2012. Coffee and sustainability: the multiple values of traditional shaded coffee. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*, Vol.36:353-377.
- [4] Biruk, A. 2018. Impact of shade on morpho-physiological characteristics of coffee plants, their pests and diseases: A review. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, Vol. 13(39):2016-2024.
- [5] DaMatta, F., Avila, R., Cardoso, A., Martins, S. and Ramalho, J. 2018. Physiological and agronomic performance of the coffee crop in the context of climate change and global warming: A review. *Journal of Agricultural and Food chemistry*, Vol. 66(21):5264-5274.
- [6] Davis, A., Wilkinson T., Challa, Z., Williams, J., Baena, S., Gole, T. and Moat, J. 2018. Coffee Atlas of Ethiopia. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew (UK).
- [7] Tesfaye, A. 2013. Determinants of crop diversity and composition in Enset-coffee agroforestry home gardens of Southern Ethiopia. *J. Agr. Rural Develop. Trop. Subtrop.*, Vol. 114(1):29-38.
- [8] Shalene, J., Bacon, C., Philpott, S., Mendez, V., Laderach, P. and Rice, R. 2014. Shade coffee: Update on a disappearing refuge for biodiversity. *Bioscience*, Vol. 64:416-428.
- [9] Lopez-Rodriguez, G., Sotomayur-Ramirez, D., Amador, J. and Schroder, E. 2015. Contribution of nitrogen from litter and soil mineralization to shade and sun coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.) agro ecosystems. *Trop Ecol.*, Vol. 56:155-167.

- [10] Van Asten, P., Wairegi, L., Mukasa, D. and Uringi, N. 2011. Agronomic and economic benefits of coffee-banana intercropping in Uganda's smallholder farming systems. *Agricultural Systems*, Vol. 104:326-334.
- [11] Addis, T., Endale, T., Alemseged, Y. and Teshome, M. 2015. Intercropping of Arabica Coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.) with Korarima (*Aframomum corrorima* (Braun) P.C.M. Jansen) in Southwestern Ethiopia. *J. of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*, Vol. 5:21.
- [12] Anteneh, N. and Taye, K. 2015b. Intercropping of Arabica coffee with turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) and ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rose) at Tepi. *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*, Vol. 5(7):65-68.
- [13] Anteneh, N., Taye, K. and Tesfaye, S. 2015. Review of Arabica coffee management research in Ethiopia. *J. of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*, Vol. 5(13):235-258.
- [14] Mithamo, M., Kerich, R. and Kimemia, J. 2017. Impact of intercropping coffee with fruit trees on soil nutrients and coffee yields. *Int. J. Enol. Vitic.*, Vol. 4(7):222-227.
- [15] Behailu, M., Essubalew, G., Shiferaw, T., Tesfaye, S. and Anteneh, N. 2020a. Intercropping of Arabica coffee (*Coffea Arabica* L.) with enset (*Enset ventricosum* Welw. Cheesman) at Teppi, Southwestern Ethiopia. *Plant*. Vol. 8(4):64-71.
- [16] Behailu, M., Shiferaw, T., Essubalew, G., Anteneh, N. and Girma, H. 2020b. Intercropping Arabica coffee (*Coffea Arabica* L.) and korarima (*Aframomum corrorima* (Braun) P.C.M. Jansen) at Teppi, Southwest Ethiopia. *American Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*. Vol. 8(4):175-180.
- [17] Leta, A. and Ashenafi, N. 2021. Effect of coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.) strip intercropping with enset on growth, yield and yield aspects of the component crops. *Int. J. of Photochemistry and Photobiology*. Vol. 5(1):7-13.
- [18] Chillet, M., Hubert, O., Rives, M. and Bellaire, L. 2006. Effects of the physiological age of bananas on their susceptibility to wound anthracnose due to *Colletotrichum musae*. *Plant Dis.*, Vol. 90:1181-1185.
- [19] Hailu, M., Workneh, S. and Belew, D. 2013. Review on postharvest technology of banana fruit. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, Vol. 12(7):635-647.
- [20] Dereje, G. and Eshetu, A. 2011. Agro-ecologies of Ethiopia and major crops grown. A research report in collaboration with Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research (EIAR). Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- [21] Girma, H., Digafe, T. and Wondyfray, T. 2008. Yield and quality evaluation of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc) collections and introductions. In: Lemma, D., Girma, H., Zenebe, W., Terefe, B., Asfaw, Z., Lakew, B. (Ed.) Ethiopian Horticultural Science Society (EHSS), Proceedings of the first inaugural conference, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 215-220.
- [22] Abayneh, E. and Ashenaf, A. 2005. Soils of Tepi and Haru Agricultural Research Sub centers, soil survey and land evaluation section. National Soil Research Center. Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- [23] Murphy, H. 1968. A research report on fertility status and other data on some soils of Ethiopia. Experimental Station Bulletin, No. 44. Haile Silassie College of Agriculture, Oklahoma State University.
- [24] Tekalign, T. 1991. Soil, plant, water, fertilizer, animal manure and compost analysis. Working Document No. 13. International Livestock Research Center for Africa, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- [25] Olsen, S., Cole, C., Watanabe, F. and Dean, L. 1954. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA Circular, Washington, USA 939:1-19.
- [26] Anteneh, N. and Taye, K. 2015a. Review of Arabica coffee nursery management research in Ethiopia. *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*, Vol. 5(11):20-23.
- [27] Wassu, M. 2011. Heterosis and combining ability analysis for coffee quality in diallel crosses of diverse coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.) parents in origin. *East African Journal of Sciences*, Vol. 5(1):12-21.
- [28] Tesfaye, T., Bizuayehu, T. and Girma, A. 2020. Evaluation of the performance of coffee varieties under low moisture stressed areas of Southern Ethiopia. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, Vol. 15(2):212-221.
- [29] Zenebe, W., Ali, M., Derbew, B., Zekarias, S. and Adam, B. 2015. Assessment of banana postharvest handling practices and losses in Ethiopia. *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*, Vol. 5(17):82-96.
- [30] Crane, J. and Balerdi, C. 2020. Banana growing in the Florida home landscape. Publication #HS10. Horticultural Sciences Department, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences. University of Florida.
- [31] Seifu, G. 1999. Banana production and utilization in Ethiopia. Ethiopian Agricultural Research Organization (EARO), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- [32] Seifu, G. 2003. Status of Commercial Fruit Production in Ethiopia. Ethiopia Agricultural Research Organization (EARO), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia
- [33] Yacob, E., Tesfaye, S., Gibramu, T. and Alemseged, Y. 1993. Effects of canopies and bearing heads on density and yield of CBD resistant Arabica (*Coffea arabica* L.). In: Proceedings of the 15th International Scientific Colloquium on Coffee, 6-11 June, 1993. Paris, France. pp: 322-328.

- [34] Taye, K., Tesfaye, S., Alemseged, Y., Anteneh, N. and Endale, T. 2001. The impact of close spacing on yield of Arabica coffee under contrasting agro-ecologies of Ethiopia. *African Crop Science J.*, Vol. 9(2):401-409.
- [35] Alvarez, C., Ortega, A., Fernandez, M. and Borges, A. 2001. Growth, yield and leaf nutrient content of organically grown banana plants in the Canary Island. *Fruits*, Vol. 56:17-26.
- [36] Navaneethakrishnan, K., Gill, M. and Ramesh, K. 2013. Effect of different levels of N and P on ratoon banana (*Musa spp.* AAA). *Journal of Horticulture and Forestry*, Vol. 5(6):81-91.
- [37] Akida, I., Patrick, A., Kelvin, M., Rony, S. and Roel, M. 2020. Optimizing soil fertility management strategies to enhance banana production in volcanic soils of the northern highlands, Tanzania. *Agronomy*, Vol. 10:289.
- [38] Legesse, H. 2018. Growth and fruit yield response of banana (*Mussa acuminata*) to sucker management. *J. of Natural Sciences Research*, Vol. 8(3):6-9.
- [39] Willey, R. 1985. Evaluation and presentation of intercropping advantages. *Experimental Agriculture*, Vol. 21:119-133.
- [40] International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT), 1988. From Agronomic Data to Farmer Recommendations: An Economic Training Manual. Revised Edition. Mexico, D.F.
- [41] Dariush, M., Ahad, M. and Meysam, O. 2006. Assessing the land equivalent ratio (LER) of two corn (*Zea mays* L.) varieties intercropping at various nitrogen levels In Karaj, Iran. *Journal of Central European Agriculture*, Vol. 7(2):359-364
- [42] Mohammed, S. 2011. Assessing the land equivalent ratio (LER) of two leguminous pastures (*Clitoria* and *Siratro*) intercropping at various cultural practices and fencing at Zalingei–Western Darfur State-Sudan. *ARPN Journal of Science and Technology*, 2(11):1074-1080.
- [43] Zhang, G., Yang, Z. and Dong, S. 2011. Interspecific competitiveness affects the total biomass yield in an alfalfa and corn intercropping system. *Field Crops Res.*, Vol. 124(1):66-73.
- [44] Statistical Analysis System (SAS), 2008. SAS Institute, North Carolina, USA.
- [45] Gomez, K. and Gomez, A. 1984. Statistical procedure for agricultural research. International Rice Research Institute, Wiley-Inter science, 690.
- [46] Taye, K., Anteneh, N., Tesfaye, S., Endale, T. and Alemseged, Y. 2008. Intercropping coffee with other crops. In: Girma, A., Bayetta, B., Tesfaye, S., Endale, T. and Taye, K. (Ed.). Coffee Diversity and Knowledge. Proceedings of a National Workshop Four Decades of Coffee Research and Development in Ethiopia. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 485pp.
- [47] Van der Vossen H. 2005. A critical analysis of agronomic and economic sustainability of organic coffee production. *Experimental Agric* 41:449-473.
- [48] Rahn, E., Liebig, T., Ghazoul, J., van Asten, P., Läderach, P., Vaast, P., Sarmiento, A., Garcia, C. and Jassogne, L. 2018. Opportunities for sustainable intensification of coffee agro-ecosystems along an altitudinal gradient on Mt. Elgon, Uganda. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* Vol. 263:31-40.
- [49] DaMatta, F. 2004. Eco-physiological constraints on the production of shaded and unshaded coffee: A review. *Field Crops Res.*, 86:99-114.
- [50] Endale, T., Taye, K., Anteneh, N., Tesfaye, S., Alemseged, Y. and Tesfaye, A. 2008. Research on Arabica coffee field management. In: Girma, A., Bayetta, B., Tesfaye, S., Endale, T. and Taye, K. (Ed.). Coffee Diversity and Knowledge. Proceedings of a National Workshop Four Decades of Coffee Research and Development in Ethiopia. Addis Ababa (Ghion Hotel), Ethiopia, 485pp.
- [51] Nigussie, A., Adugna, A., Ajema, L., Shimber, T. and Taye, E. 2017. Effects of planting density and number vertical on yield and yield component of South Ethiopia coffee selections at Awada, Sidama zone, Southern Ethiopia. *Acad. Res. J. Agri. Sci. Res.*, Vol. 5(4):313-319.
- [52] Mekonnen, B., Temeteme, S., Getachew, E., Kifelew, H. and Shimber, T. 2018. Determination of appropriate planting space and vertical numbers for hybrid Arabica coffee at Yeki District, Southwestern Ethiopia. *J. of Horticulture*, Vol. 5:240.
- [53] Gliessman, S., Rosado-May, F., Guadarrama-Zugasti, C., Jedlicka, J., Cohn, A., *et al.*, 2007. Agro-ecology: promoting a transition towards sustainability. *Ecosistemas Magazine*, 16 (1).
- [54] Paudel, M. 2016. Multiple cropping for raising productivity and farm income of small farmers. A review paper. *J. of Nepal Agricultural Research Council*, Vol. 2:37-45.
- [55] Mohammad, I., Mohammad, A., Ayman, S., Celaledin, B., Disna, R., Ferhat, K. and Sohikul, I. 2018. Evaluation of turmeric-mung bean intercrop productivity through competition functions. *Acta agriculturae Slovenica*, Vol. 111(1):199-207.

- [56] Yayeh, B. 2014. Determination of effective spatial arrangement for intercropping of maize and potato using competition indices at South Wollo, Ethiopia. *Int. J. of Research in Agriculture and Food Sciences*, Vol. 2(8):09-19.
- [57] Zhang, Y., Liu, J., Zhang, J., Liu, H., Liu, S., Zhai, L., *et al.*, 2015. Row ratios of intercropping maize and soybean can affect agronomic efficiency of the system and subsequent wheat. *PLoS ONE*. 10(6): e0129245. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0129245>.

Citation: Behailu Mekonnen (2022). "Intercropping Arabica Coffee (*Coffea Arabica*) and Banana (*Musa Spp*) At Teppi, Southwest Ethiopia" *International Journal of Research Studies in Agricultural Sciences (IJRSAS)*, 8(6), pp. 6-14 DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.20431/2454-6224.0806002>

Copyright: © 2022 Authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.